

### THIR UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME;

Inrione & Rutgers, The State Univ. of New Jersey

MICCOLS, THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE

#### Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY CARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE HIGHLITO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR HUNGITING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE PURPOSE, OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE ABOVE E, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

#### FESCUE, TALL

'Blackwatch'

In Costingua Macrost, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Mant Mariety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this twenty-ninth day of September, in the year two thousand and six.

Allast:

BernJulun

Commissioner

Plant Varioty Protection Office

Anicological Washeling Society

Agriculture

(See greess for instructions and information collection burden statement)

DATE

3-5-04

Director of

Research

CAPACITY OR TITLE

#### INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: To be effectively filed with the Plant Variety Protection Office (PVPO), ALL of the following items must be received in the PVPO: (1) Completed application form signed by the owner; (2) completed exhibits A, B, C, E; (3) for a seed reproduced variety at least 2,500 viable untreated seeds, for a hybrid variety at least 2,500 untreated seeds of each line necessary to reproduce the variety, or for tuber reproduced varieties verification that a viable (in the sense that it will reproduce an entire plant) tissue culture will be deposited and maintained in an approved public repository; (4) check drawn on a U.S. bank for \$2,705 (\$320 filing fee and \$2,385 examination fee), payable to "Treasurer of the United States" (See Section 97.6 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.) Partial applications will be held in the PVPO for not more than 90 days, then returned to the applicant as unfiled. Mail application and other requirements to Plant Variety Protection Office, AMS, USDA, Room 500, NAL Building, 10301 Baltimore Avenue, Beltsville, MD 20705-2351. Retain one copy for your files. All items on the face of the application are self explanatory unless noted below. Corrections on the application form and exhibits must be initialed and dated. DO NOT use masking materials to make corrections. If a certificate is allowed, you will be requested to send a check payable to "Treasurer of the United States" in the amount of \$320 for issuance of the certificate. Certificates will be issued to owner, not licensee or agent.

Plant Variety Protection Office Telephone: (301) 504-5518 FAX: (301) 504-5291 200400130

ITEM

Homepage: http://www.ams.usda.gov/science/pvpo/pvp.htm

- 18a. Give: (1) the genealogy, including public and commercial varieties, lines, or clones used, and the breeding method; (2) the details of subsequent stages of selection and multiplication;
  - (3) evidence of uniformity and stability; and
  - (4) the type and frequency of variants during reproduction and multiplication and state how these variants may be identified
- 18b. Give a summary of the variety's distinctness. Clearly state how this application variety may be distinguished from all other varieties in the same crop. If the new variety is most similar to one variety or a group of related varieties:
  - (1) identify these varieties and state all differences objectively;
  - (2) attach statistical data for characters expressed numerically and demonstrate that these are clear differences; and
  - (3) submit, if helpful, seed and plant specimens or photographs (prints) of seed and plant comparisons which clearly indicate distinctness.
- 18c. Exhibit C forms are available from the PVPO Office for most crops; specify crop kind. Fill in Exhibit C (Objective Description of Variety) form as completely as possible to describe your variety.
- 18d. Optional additional characteristics and/or photographs. Describe any additional characteristics that cannot be accurately conveyed in Exhibit C. Use comparative varieties as is necessary to reveal more accurately the characteristics that are difficult to describe, such as plant habit, plant color, disease resistance, etc.
- 18e. Section 52(5) of the Act requires applicants to furnish a statement of the basis of the applicant's ownership. An Exhibit E form is available from the PVPO.
- 19. If "Yes" is specified (seed of this variety be sold by variety name only, as a class of certified seed), the applicant MAY NOT reverse this affirmative decision after the variety has been sold and so labeled, the decision published, or the certificate issued. However, if "No" has been specified, the applicant may change the choice. (See Regulations and Rules of Practice, Section 97.103).
- 22. See Sections 41, 42, and 43 of the Act and Section 97.5 of the regulations for eligibility requirements.
- 23. See Section 55 of the Act for instructions on claiming the benefit of an earlier filing date.
- 21. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide a statement as to the limitation and sequence of generations that may be certified.)
- 22. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide the date of first sale, disposition, transfer, or use for each country and the circumstances, if the variety (including any harvested material) or a hybrid produced from this variety has been sold, disposed of, transferred, or used in the U.S. or other countries.)

Date of first sale: January 23, 2004 in Indiana, USA

23. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please give the country, date of filing or issuance, and assigned reference number, if the variety or any component of the variety is protected by intellectual property right (Plant Breeder's Right or Patent).)

NOTES: It is the responsibility of the applicant/owner to keep the PVPO informed of any changes of address or change of ownership or assignment or owner's representative during the life of the application/certificate. There is no charge for filing a change of address. The fee for filing a change of ownership or assignment or any modification of owner's name is specified in Section 97.175 of the regulations. (See Section 101 of the Act, and Sections 97.130, 97.131. 97.175(h) of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)

To avoid conflict with other variety names in use, the applicant must check the appropriate recognized authority. For example, for agricultural and vegetable crops, contact: Seed Branch, AMS, USDA, Room 213, Building 306, Beltsville Agricultural Research Center--East, Beltsville, MD 20705. Telephone: (301) 504-8089. http://www.ams.usda.gov/lsg/seed/is-sd.htm

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a veild OMB control number for vaid OMB control number for this collection of information is (0581-0055). The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 1.4 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require atternative means for communication of program information (Braitle, large print, audiciage, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Marington, DC 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

S&T 470 (04-01) designed by the Plant Variety Protection Office with WordPerfect 5.0a. Replaces STD-470 (02-99) which is obsolete.

## Exhibit A Origin and Breeding History Blackwatch tall fescue

Blackwatch tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea Schreb.) is a medium low-growing, dark green, medium-fine-leaved, turf-type tall fescue selected from the maternal progenies of 52 clones. Blackwatch was selected for intermediate density, dark-green color, leafy semi-dwarf growth habit, early maturity and above average brown patch resistance. Approximately 94% of the parental germplasm in Blackwatch contain the Neotyphodium endophyte.

The 52 parents of *Blackwatch* were selected from maternal sources evaluated in progeny turf plots at the Rutgers Plant Science Research and Extension Farm at Adelphia, NJ from the 1995, 1996, 1997 and 1998 trials. Twenty-one percent of the maternal germplasm traces to several plants selected from or related to *Apache*. Another twenty-one percent trace to a few plants selected from Athens, GA near the University of Georgia in 1977. Twelve percent traces to clones evaluated in 1988 selected from the campus of Georgia Tech in Southern GA. Another ten percent traces to plants selected from or related to *Rebel Jr*. Approximately six percent traces to a plant selected from the Princeton University campus in Princeton, NJ and used in the development of *Rebel*. Another six percent trace to plants selected from Atlanta, GA near GA Tech before 1980. Four percent traces to plants selected from or related to *Amigo*. Four percent trace to a plant designated RR-11F and evaluated in turf plots in 1988. Another four percent traces to *SR 8400*. Two percent traces to a few plants selected from or related to *Gazelle*. Another two percent traces to a few plants selected from or related to *Duke*. Another two percent traces to a few plants selected from or related to *Duke*. Another two percent trace to plants selected from or related to *Montauk* tall fescue and an additional two percent trace to plants selected from or related to *Titan* tall fescue.

All the parental germplasm of *Blackwatch* tall fescue traces its origin to plants selected from old turfs of the United States in a germplasm collection program initiated in 1962, to plants selected from or related to *Rebel* tall fescue (Funk et al., 1981). Attractive clones were selected from old turfs in Birmingham, AL; Athens, Atlanta, and Millegeville, GA; Preston, ID; Baltimore, MD; Bayonne, Jersey City, Elizabeth, Princeton, and Cape May, NJ; eastern North Carolina; Philadelphia, PA; Nashville, TN; Lexington, KY; Cincinnati, OH; Dallas, TX; and northern Mississippi. The tall fescue plants selected from old turfs were of unknown origin. All were large patches of turf surviving in stressful environments indicating that they had persisted and developed over a period of many years.

A few hundred attractive, turf-type plants were collected and established in spaced-plant nurseries and/or frequently mowed clonal evaluation trials at Rutgers University. All but a few dozen of the most promising plants were quickly discarded. The best selections were very different from any tall fescue variety in existence at the time of collection. They produced lower-growing turfs with finer leaves, greater density, darker color, and greater tolerance of close mowing.

The most promising plants were identified by their persistence and appearance in old turfs and their performance in spaced-plant nurseries, mowed clonal evaluation tests, and single-plant progeny trails under turf maintenance. Intercrosses of the best performing plants were subjected to varying cycles of phenotypic and genotypic selection depending on their date of collection. New sources of germplasm were added to the breeding program as it became available from the continuing collection program. Each cycle of selection showed continued progress in producing lower-growing, darker green, attractive plants with improved turf performance scores. Selection was also effective in

maintaining high seed yields, and good stress tolerance. Substantial progress was made in developing tall fescues with finer leaves, a lower growth profile, increased persistence under close mowing, and increased density.

Large numbers of single-plant progenies were seeded in turf evaluation trials at the Plant Science Research Farm at Adelphia, NJ in 1995, 1996, 1997 and 1998. The plants selected for progeny evaluation were selected from spaced-plant nurseries at Adelphia following varying cycles of phenotypic and genotypic selection of germplasm selected from old turfs and germplasm selected from or related to *Rebel* tall fescue.

Following the a period of brown patch disease in 1998, a total of 6150 tillers were selected from the best performing single-plant progeny turf plots from the 1995, 1996, 1997 and 1998 tall fescue test at Adelphia. One hundred and forty-five single-plot progenies were selected from 510 plots from 8 different populations from the 1995 test, 585 plots from 9 different populations in the 1996 test, 1055 plots from 10 different populations from the 1997 test and 635 plots from 9 different populations from the 1998 test. These plants were established in greenhouse flats prior to their transfer to two spaced-plant nurseries in the fall of 1999. Selection was based on performance records as well as appearance at the time the plants were selected from these progeny plots. Selection of plants from each progeny was based on an attractive dark green color, medium-fine leaves, abundant tillering, a more open, medium coarse canopy structure and freedom from brown patch disease. Brown patch selections were put in a separate nursery that consisted of 3900 plants, while the open, medium coarse selections were placed in another nursery that consisted of 3060 plants. In the spring of 2000, 62 plants were selected from those nurseries (21% from the brown patch selections and 79% from the open, medium course selections) for characteristics such as early maturity, dark green color, intermediate shoot density, semi-dwarf leafy growth habit and freedom from disease. The selected plants were moved prior to anthesis, to an isolated crossing block at Adelphia called 'Blackwatch'. A total of 52 plants with the best floret fertility and highest seed yield from 15 different mother lines were harvested. In the fall of 2000, one turf plot of each line was established at Adelphia. Seed from these 52 plants was also germinated in greenhouse flats and screened to approximately 60 percent for dark-green color, intermediate density and freedom from disease. The 900 plants remaining were established in an isolated spaced-plant nursery in the fall of 2000. Approximately 50 percent of these plants were rogued from the nursery for light green color, disease susceptibility, non-uniform growth habit, non-uniform maturity, poor seed yield potential and poor performance in turf plot evaluations to 429 plants. These plants were inter-pollinated and 250 plants with excellent floret fertility were harvested from this nursery. Replicated turf plots of Blackwatch were established at Adelphia in the fall of 2001 and entered in the 2001 National Tall Fescue test to be tested throughout the country. Ten pounds of breeder seed was sent to Pickseed West Inc. (PSW) for foundation and certified seed increase.

A foundation seed stock field was sown in September 2001 near Woodburn, OR. The spring time uniformity of plant type and heading date was exceptional. Certified seed production in 2003, (from a field sown with the aforementioned seed stock) was also very good. *Blackwatch* has been observed to be uniform and stable for 5 years and/or generations. No variants have been observed during this time nor to the present.

#### Diagram of Origin and Breeding History of Blackwatch Tall Fescue

#### 1. 1962 to 1994

Germplasm collection, evaluation, and genetic improvement.

#### 2. 1995-1998

Planted single-plant progenies of plants selected from current cycles of population improvement programs in closely mowed turf trials at Adelphia and North Brunswick, NJ.

#### 3. 1999

Selected 6150 plants from 145 of the best performing single-plant progeny turf plots planted in 1995, 1996, 1997 and 1998. Established selected plants in two spaced-plant nurseries at Adelphia, NJ.

#### 4. 2000

Moved 62 plants to an isolated crossing block. Harvested from 52 plants with excellent appearance and floret fertility. Established each line in turf plots at Adelphia and in an isolated spaced-plant nursery at Adelphia.

#### 5. 2001

Rogued approximately 50% of 900 plants to 429. These were inter-pollinated and 250 plants were harvested for excellent floret fertility and appearance at the time of harvest.

#### References

- 1. Buckner, Robert C., Jerrell B. Powell, and Rod V. Frakes. 1979. Historical Development, in Buckner, Robert C., and Lowell P. Bush (editors) Tall Fescue. Agronomy Monograph 20. American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Soil Science Society of America, Inc., Publishers. Madison, Wisconsin pages 1-8.
- 2. Funk, C.R., R.E. Engel, W.K. Dickson, and R.H. Hurley. 1981. Registration of Rebel tall fescue. Crop Sci. 21:632.

# Exhibit B Varietal Distinctness Blackwatch Tall Fescue

Blackwatch is a new, distinct cultivar for turfgrass utility. Upon evaluation of morphological data generated from two growing seasons of a spaced planted cultivar trial, Blackwatch is most similar to the cultivar Silverado. Blackwatch can be differentiated from Silverado based on anthesis date. Blackwatch is 4 to 6 days earlier than Silverado (Table 1).

Table 1. Anthesis date of tall fescue cultivars during two growing seasons.†

Cultivar	2002	2003
Blackwatch	June 2	May 30
Avenger	June 3	<b>May 29</b>
Bonanza	June 6	June 2
Shortstop	June 6	June 2
Silverado	June 8	June 3
Crewcut	June 8	June 1
Kentucky-31	June 3	May 26
Wrangler	June 4	May 30
LSD@0.05	2 days	2 days

<sup>†</sup> Data condensed from a spaced planted trial of progenies from 32 tall fescue cultivars or experimental lines. The trial was established at the Pickseed West, Inc. research facility, Albany, OR in November 2001.

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, AMS, USDA NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY Bidg., Rm. 500 10301 BALTIMORE Blvd. BELTSVILLE, MD 20705

## OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY TALL & MEADOW FESCUES

(Festuca spp.)

	PLICANT(S) Turf	One & Rutgers, Th	ne State Univ. of Nev	Jersey   TEMPORA	RY DESIGNATION	VARIETY NAME
				Pick OD3	2-01	Blackwatch
•	eet and No., or R.F 34 SW, Albany		e, and ZIP Code)		PVPO	FFICIAL USE ONLY NUMBER 0 4 0 0 1 3 6
varieties, use var	rieties within the sp	pecies of the applic	cation variety)		* 1. SPI	ECIES: (With comparison
_	_1 = F. arundinac		<u>Turf T</u>	<u>ypes</u>		
	1 = Kentucky 31 7 = Shortstop 13=Southeast	2 = Rebel 8 = Silverado 14=Cayenne	3 = Olympic 9 = Rebel Jr. <b>15=Falcon</b>	4 = Bonanza 10 = Mini Mustang	5 = Arid 6 = Reb 11 = Crewcut	el II 12 = Bonsai
1		-	<u>Forage</u>	Types		
e economic de la companie de la compa	20 = Ke $24 = Ke$ $2 = F. pratensis (Material)$ $30 = Ae$	feadow)	Iartin 25 = AU Triump eaumont 32 = Co	h 26 = Fawn	= Mozark 27 = Cajun 34 = Trader	
* 2. CYTOLOGY			ome Number			
3. ADAPTATIO	N: (0 = Not Tested	; 1 = Not Adapted	1; 2 = Adapted)			
	Transition Zone	-	2 Northeas	st <b>2</b> Othe	er (Specify): <b>Pacific</b>	
* 4. MATURITY4_ Maturity ( Medium early Date Headed	6 = Bon	y early ( )	le Emergence)  2 = AU Triumph  7 = Late (Silverae  Location	lo) 8 =	wn) 4 = K31, Kenhy 9 = Very late	• •
-	Days earlier than	_4				
5			- ***			
	y same as	1 Compar	rison Variety			

733_ cm H	leight		_111c	m Internode leng	A400136
_128_ cm short	ter than _4		40_cm	shorter than	_4
Height same as	_8 Comparison V	ariety Length s	same as	_ <b>8</b> Comp	parison variety
cm taller than			cm longer than	_	
* HEIGHT AT EAR EMERGE	NCE CM: (Flag leaf height	from crown to flag le	eaf node)		
_310_ cm Heig	ht				
_100_ cm short	ter than4_				
Height same as	_8_ Comparison Va	ariety			
cm taller than					
* 6. GROWTH HABIT: (Mature	Plants)				
7 1 = Prostrate ( 7 = Semierect (	) 3 = Se (Rebel) 9 = Er	emiprostrate ( ) rect (Mini Mustang)	5 = Hor	rizontal ( )	
* 7. RHIZOMES (Psuedo):	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
mm Length	$X_1 = Absent()$	2 = Rare (Rebel)	3 = Con	nmon ( )	
* 8. LEAF BLADE: (Tiller leaves	/ turf color)		· ···		
*_6 Color: 1 = Li 7 = M 5 Specif	ght green ( ) edium dark green ( ) y rating of comparison varie	3 = Medium light 9 = Very dark gre ty - <b>Bonsai</b>	green() en()	5 = Green ( )	)
*_1 Anthocyanin:	1 = Absent ( )	9 = Present ( )			
*_9 Basal Hairs:	1 = Absent ( )	9 = Present ( )			
*_5 Margins:	1 = Smooth ( )	5 = Semi-rough (	)	9 = Rough (	)
*_5_Width Class:  Medium coarse	1 = Very coarse ( ) 7 = Fine ( )	3 = Coarse ( ) 9 = Very Fine (	5 = Med	lium ( )	
* TILLER LEAF LENGTH CM: (	First leaf subtending the flag	g leaf)	* TILLER LEAF	WIDTH MM:	
_140_ cm Tiller	Leaf Length		_39	_ mm Tiller Lea	f Width
_35_ cm shorter than	n _12		_1O_ mm nai	rower than	_1_
Length same as	_11 Comparison Var	riety	Width same as	_4	Comparison variety
cm longer than			<b>1.1</b> mm wid	ler than _14_	_

#### FLAGIEAFTENGTH CM-

PLAG LEAF LENGTH CM:	FLAG LEAF WIDTH MM:
_115_ cm Flag Leaf Length	_28_ mm Flag Leaf Width
_4_4_cm shorter than _1	_06_ mm narrower than _1
Length same as11 Comparison Variety	Width same as11 Comparison variety
cm longer than	mm wider than
* 9. LEAF SHEATH: (Basal Portion)	
*_9 Anthocyanin (seedling): 1 = Absent (K31)	9 = Present ( )
*_9 Auricle Hairiness: 1 = Absent ( )	9 = Present ( )
* 10. PANICLE: (At seed maturity except where noted.)	
*_5_ Shape: I = Narrow-tapering ( ) 5 = Ova	ate ( ) $7 = Oblong$ ( ) $9 = Other$ (specify)
*5_ Type: $1 = \text{Compact (appressed)}$ $5 = \text{Interpolation}$	rmediate ( ) $7 = Open ( )$ $9 = Other (specify)$
*_9 Orientation: 1 = Nodding ( )	9 = Erect ( )
*_9 Branch Pubescence: 1 = Glabrous ( )  Main	9 = Pubescent ( ) <b>2003 Data</b>
*_6 Anther Color (At anthesis): 1 = Yellowish Green 4 = Purplish	2 = Green 3 = Bluish Green 18% yellow-green 5 = Reddish 6= Other (Specify) 15% green 6=yellow 67% yellow
*_3 Glume Color (At anthesis): 1 = Yellowish Green 4 = Purplish	2 = Green 3 = Bluish Green 5 = Reddish 6= Other (Specify)
*_178_ cm Panicle Length (from base to tip, if nodding	, straighten; after anthesis)
_22_ cm shorter than _11	
Length same as _8_ Comparison Variety	
cm longer than	
* 11. SEED: (With Lemma & Pelea)	
*_2737 mg per 1000 seeds	
563 mg less than _1	
Weight same as11 Comparison Variety	
LEMMA: Hairs: l = Absent (Kenhy	5 = Short (Missouri 96) 9 = Long ( ) 5 = Several ( ) 9 = Many (Missouri 96)
5.7 mm Lemma Length (Mature)	15.1 mm Lemma width (of 10 seeds)
0.7 mm shorter than11	1.0 mm narrower than1
Length same as 8 Comparison Variety	Width same as 14 Comparison variety

*AWNS:	_9 AWNS: 1 = Absent (	) 9 = Present (Falcon)
2.5 mm	n Awn length (Of those present.)	
_0.7_ mm	a Shorter than 8 200	3 data
Length same	as15 Comparison Va	nety
mm Lo	onger than	
12. DISEASE, INSEC	T, AND NEMATODE REACTION: (C	)= Not Tested 1= Least Resistant 9= Most Resistant)
_ <b>0</b> Melting	g-out <i>Drechslera poae</i>	0_Blind Seed Gloeotinia temulenta
_ <b>0</b> Leaf Sp	oot D. siccans	_ <b>0</b> Dollar Spot <i>Lanzia, Mollerdiscus</i> spp.
<b>0</b> _ Net Blo	otch D. dictyoides	0_ Stem Rust Puccinia graminis
_7Brown]	Patch <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	<b>0</b> _ T. Blight <i>Typhula incarnata</i>
<b>0</b> _ C. Leaf	Spot Cercospora fectucae	<b>0</b> _ Pythium Blight <i>Pythium</i> spp.
<b>0</b> _ Pink Sn	ow Mold <i>Gerlachia nivalis</i>	0_ Powdery Mildew Erysiphe graminis
_ <b>0</b> Silver T	Cop F. tricinctum, F. roseum	_ <b>0</b> Crown Rust <i>Puccinia coronata</i>
<b>8</b> _ Other D	DiseasePink Patch	
Other Inse	ect	
Other Ner	matode	
13. ENVIRONMENT	'AL STRESS	
7_ Drought	t Stress 1 = Susceptible ( )	5 = Tolerant ( ) 9 = Resistant ( )
Shade Stre	ress 1 = Susceptible ( )	5 = Tolerant ( ) 9 = Resistant ( )
_8 Winter S	Stress 1 = Susceptible ( )	5 = Tolerant ( ) 9 = Resistant ( )
indicate the degree of re	esemblance with the following scale:	LY RESEMBLE THE APPLICATION VARIETY. For the following characteristic ne as 3 = More than, better, greater, darker, etc.
Character	VarietiesRating	Character VarietiesRating
Leaf Width	Bonanza 2	Leaf Color Silverado 3
Panicle Color	Silverado 2	Panicle Shape Wrangler 2
Seed Size (length)	Wrangler 1	Cold Injury Bonsai 2
Winter Color	Bonsai 2	Heat Bonsai 2
Disease <b>(Brown pat</b> o	ch) Bonsai 2	

\* 15. EXPERIMENTAL: Give a brief summary of the experimental design utilized to collect the data used on this form. Cultural conditions, number of plants measured and plant spacing must be specified.

Unless noted otherwise, data supplied for Exhibit C were generated in the 2002 season from a spaced planted nursery of individuals from 32 cultivars (or experimental lines) cultured at the research facility of Pickseed West, Inc., Albany, OR. The nursery was established in November 2001. Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block experimental design with three replications. Each replication for an entry was represented by 20 individuals, transplanted in a single row 50 cm apart within the row. Plant nutrition followed 39.2 kgN/ha<sup>-1</sup> at transplanting and again in October 2002. Additionally, 100.8kgN/ha<sup>-1</sup> was split applied in the spring of 2002 and again in 2003. One half of the spring N was applied in March; the other half was applied in April each of the two years.

Scores for items in part 12 of Exhibit C were taken from the 2002 NTEP data progress report no. 03-1.

REPRODUCE LOCALLY. Include form number and edition date on a	a reproduction	FORM APPROVED - OMB No. 0581-
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE  EXHIBIT E  ETATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF OWNEDSHIP	Application is required in order to del certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2 confidential until the certificate is issued	421). The information is held
STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF OWNERSHIP  1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	3. VARIETY NAME
• •	OR EXPERIMENTAL NUMBER	J. VARIETT NAME
TurfOne and Rutgers, The State Univ. of New Jersey	- AETOK ODZ-O GOZ	Blackwatch
4. ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP, and Country)	5. TELEPHONE (Include area (Cont.)	6. FAX (Include area code)
30190 Hwy 34 SW, Albany, OR 97321	541-967-0123	541-967-6103
	7. PVPO NUMBER	
8. Does the applicant own all rights to the variety? Mark an "X" in the	ne appropriate block. If no, please expl	
One jointly owns 'Blackwatch' wi of New Jersey	th Rutgers, The Stat	te Univ.
9. Is the applicant (individual or company) a U.S. national or a U.S.	based company? If no, give name of c	country. XX YES I
10. Is the applicant the original owner?	NO If no, please answer one	of the following:
a. If the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is  TES  b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(ies	(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. Nation  NO If no, give name of coun	try
YES	(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. Nation  NO If no, give name of coun	ased company?
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(ies	(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. Nation  NO If no, give name of coun  ), is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. ba	ased company?
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(les	(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. Nation  NO If no, give name of coun  ), is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. ba	ased company?
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(ies	(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. Nation  NO If no, give name of coun  ), is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. ba	ased company?
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(ies	(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. Nation  NO If no, give name of coun  ), is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. ba	ased company?
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(ies	(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. Nation  NO If no, give name of coun  ), is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. ba	ased company?
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(ies YES  11. Additional explanation on ownership (Trace ownership from orig	(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. Nation  NO If no, give name of coun  ), is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. ba	ased company?
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(ies	(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. Nation  NO If no, give name of coun  ), is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. ba	ased company?
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(ies YES  11. Additional explanation on ownership (Trace ownership from orig	(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. Nation  NO If no, give name of count  ), is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. ba  NO If no, give name of count  inal breeder to current owner. Use the in	ased company?
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(less YES  11. Additional explanation on ownership (Trace ownership from original explanation)  PLEASE NOTE:	(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. Nation NO If no, give name of count, is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. bath NO If no, give name of count in all breeder to current owner. Use the interest of the latest part of the la	ased company? iry reverse for extra space if needed):
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(less YES  11. Additional explanation on ownership (Trace ownership from original PLEASE NOTE:  Plant variety protection can only be afforded to the owners (not licer 1. If the rights to the variety are owned by the original breeder, that	(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. Nation NO If no, give name of count, is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. bath NO If no, give name of count in all breeder to current owner. Use the interest owner where the following criteria: person must be a U.S. national, national of the U.S. for the same genus and special or the original breeder(s), the company of the original breeder(s), the company of the u.S. for the same genus and special or the original breeder(s), the company of the u.S. for the same genus and special or the u.S. for the same genus and special or the u.S. for the same genus and special or the u.S. for the same genus and special or the u.S. for the same genus and special or the u.S. for the same genus and special or the u.S. for the same genus and special or the u.S. for the same genus and special or the u.S. for the same genus and special or the u.S. for the same genus and special or the u.S. for the same genus and special or the u.S. for the u.S. for the same genus and special or the u.S. for the same genus and special or the u.S. for the u.	ased company? iry  reverse for extra space if needed):  of a UPOV member country, or sies.  by must be U.S. based, owned by
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(less YES  11. Additional explanation on ownership (Trace ownership from original explanation on ownership (Trace ownership from original explanation on only be afforded to the owners (not licer lift the rights to the variety are owned by the original breeder, that national of a country which affords similar protection to nationals of a UPOV member country, or owned by nationals of a	(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. Nation NO If no, give name of county, is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. bath NO If no, give name of county, in all breeder to current owner. Use the all of the U.S. for the same genus and specially of the U.S. for the same genus and specially owner, which affords similar protection	ased company?  ary  reverse for extra space if needed):  of a UPOV member country, or sies.  by must be U.S. based, owned by to nationals of the U.S. for the same

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0591-0055. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 0.1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing the instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital or family status, political beliefs, parental status, or protected genetic information. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provide and employer.